



April 17, 2013

Stephanie A. Joyce
Arent Fox LL.P.
1717 K Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20036-5342

Re: Renewed Interest By Securus In Resuming Discussion with Regard to
WT Docket No. 12-375

Dear Ms. Joyce:

I am in receipt of your letter from earlier today (originally addressed to Clarissa Ramon). Thank you for expressing interest in resuming discussion! As you know, it has now been approximately one year since the sole meeting – hosted, I am pleased to say, by Public Knowledge – between you, your client, and members of the coalition supporting the Wright Petitioners. While I can only speak for Public Knowledge, we, like you, regard this unfortunately and lengthy silence as a “serious matter.” I am therefore pleased that you have at last seen fit to remedy this unfortunate situation by reaching out to us directly.

Given that you and your client regard this unfortunate and lengthy silence as a “serious matter,” I am puzzled why you have not responded previously to efforts by coalition members to resume discussion, or reached out to us (or other members of the coalition) prior to this most recent missive. I would have hoped that, once alerted by the March 7 *ex parte* prompting your letter that any overtures from you or your client had not been received, you would have contacted us greater alacrity. Instead, despite the apparent urgency of your letter to Clarissa on this matter, 5 weeks have inexplicably passed since you were made aware that we have heard nothing from you for a year. Given your expressed ardent desire to resume discussions (a desire that, I assure you, we at Public Knowledge reciprocate with the same sincerity and intensity), I would have hoped to have heard something from you sooner.

In any event, all’s well that ends well. Regardless of any previous failure of communication, Public Knowledge would be delighted to meet with you and/or other representatives of your client as soon as mutually convenient. I cannot, of course, speak for any other members of the coalition. Indeed, I hope you and your client will additionally reach out to the other members of the coalition directly. However, if you would like us to organize another group meeting, we will be most happy to again play host.

Finally, in light of your continued desire to keep Commission staff apprised of our ongoing discussions,¹ and the desire expressed in the NPRM for further updates on the state of these

¹ e.g., Letter of Stephanie A. Joyce, Counsel to Securus Technologies to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communication Commission, Docket No. 12-375 (February 14, 2013 *ex parte* letter) and Letter of Stephanie A. Joyce, Counsel to Securus Technologies to Marlene Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communication Commission, CC Docket No. 96-128 (July 2, 2012 *ex parte* letter).



discussions,² I have taken the liberty of filing your email to Clarissa, your attached letter, and this response. I have no doubt the Commissioners following this proceeding will appreciate – as do we at Public Knowledge! -- the spirit of reconciliation with which you have reached out to us after a year of utter silence.

If you have any further questions, please feel free to respond by email, or to call me at 202-861-0020. I look forward to your proposal as to possible times for us to resume discussions with your client after this most unfortunate and lengthy hiatus.

Sincerely,

/s Harold Feld
Senior Vice President
Public Knowledge
1818 N St NW, Suite 410
Washington, DC 20036

cc: Michael Steffen
Angela Kronenberg

² *NPRM* at n.53.